

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/666,161	09/18/2003	Tsuyoshi Chou	08373.0316US01	7084
	23552	7590 08/20/2004		EXAM	INER
		T & GOULD PC		DEPUMPO,	DANIEL G
	P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	JS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		3611	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				n			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/666,161	CHOU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel G. DePumpo	3611				
Period fe	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2003.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachme	nt(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) X Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/03 and2/23/04</u> .	LJ	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/666,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the term "practically" is vague and indefinite.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yamada '581.

 See fig. 1.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada '581 in view of Nakajima and further in view of Shirata.

As set forth above, Yamada teaches substantially all that is claimed.

Although vehicle frames commonly include various cross members, Yamada does not specifically show any. Nakajima, however discloses a vehicle frame including various cross members (e.g. 51, 52). Nakajima also teaches mounting the canister 41 "forward or rearward" of

Art Unit: 3611

the cross members as claimed. It would have been obvious to modify Yamada by including cross members, as taught by Nakajima, to provide a rigid frame. It would have also been obvious to mount the canister forward or rearward of the frame, as taught by Nakajima, to protect the canister.

Also, it is unclear whether the canister 6 (of Yamada) is higher than the fuel tank.

Shirata, however, teaches that it is desirable to arrange the canister higher than the fuel tank (col. 3, lines 15 and 16). It would have been obvious to arrange the canister higher than the fuel tank, as taught by Shirata, to protect the canister.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,161 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 8/18/04